PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ :		(11) International Publication Number:	WO 98/19165
G01N 33/566, C12Q 1/00	A1	(43) International Publication Date:	7 May 1998 (07.05.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/DI (22) International Filing Date: 27 October 1997		CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB,	European patent (AT, BE, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL,
(30) Priority Data: 1182/96 25 October 1996 (25.10.96)	5) I	Published With international search report.	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US) ROSEARCH A/S [DK/DK]; Smedeland 26 B, Glostrup (DK).): NE DK-26		
(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): JENSEN, L [DK/DK]; (DK). JOHANSEN, Tina, Holm NeuroSearch a/s, Smedeland 26 B, DK-2600 Glos	[DK/DI	[];	
(74) Common Representative: NEUROSEARCH A/S; S 26 B, DK-2600 Glostrup (DK).	Smedela	nd .	
· ,			
(54) Title: A METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION	OF CO	MPOUNDS WITH ANXIOLYTIC POTENTIA	AL
(57) Abstract			
The present invention relates to the use of a clon identification of benzodiazepine receptor ligands with sele of the ligands are measured at different cloned GABAA n	ctive an	ciolytic properties (i.e. non-sedative anxiolytic	θ_3 and γ_2 subunits for the ra). Affinity and/or efficacy
			·.
		•	

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BR	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkîna Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
ВЈ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	II.	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of Americ
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Мехісо	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Vict Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korca	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

WO 98/19165 1

A METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF COMPOUNDS WITH ANXIOLYTIC POTENTIAL

TECHNICAL FIELD

5

The present invention relates to the use of a cloned GABAA receptor subtype composed of the α_2 , β_3 and γ_2 subunits for the identification of benzodiazepine receptor ligands with selective anxiolytic properties (i.e. non-sedative anxiolytica).

BACKGROUND ART

10

Receptors for the major inhibitory neurotransmitter, y-aminobutyric acid (GABA), are divided into two main classes, GABA_A receptors and GABA_B receptors.

GABA_A receptors are members of a ligand-gated ion channel family, and 15 are the most abundant inhibitory receptors in the mammalian brain. Each GABAA receptor complex comprises a chloride ion channel that controls the chloride flux across the neuronal membrane, along with multiple recognition sites for small modulatory molecules such as benzodiazepines, barbiturates, picrotoxin, and certain steroids. When GABA interacts with its receptor, the ion channel is opened, the 20 chloride flux is enhanced, and the cell becomes less responsive to excitatory stimuli. This GABA induced ion current can be regulated by various agents, including agents that interact with the benzodiazepine receptor or recognition site.

Agents that bind or interact with the modulatory sites on the GABAA receptor complex, e.g. the benzodiazepine receptor, and have a positive modulatory 25 effect on the action of GABA, are called benzodiazepine receptor agonists or partial agonists. Agonists generally produce muscle relaxant, hypnotic, sedative, anxiolytic, and/or anticonvulsant effects.

Benzodiazepine receptor ligands with negative modulatory effect on the action of GABA are termed inverse agonists, while benzodiazepine receptor ligands 30 with no intrinsic activity are termed antagonists.

Numerous compounds belonging to different series of compounds having affinity for the benzodiazepine receptors have been synthesized during the last three decades. In particular it has been the aim of several groups to develop benzodiazepine receptor modulators having potent anxiolytic activity and devoid of sedative effects. However, although the benzodiazepine receptor sites are still considered as very attractive biological sites for interfering with the CNS to treat various disorders and diseases, then nearly all previously synthesized compounds acting at these receptor sites have failed during clinical development because of unacceptable side effects.

The GABA_A receptors are structurally constituted macromolecular heteropentameric assemblies, containing a combinations of α , β , and γ/δ protein subunits. Several subunits of such GABA_A receptors (α_{1-6} , β_{1-3} , δ and γ_{1-3}) have been characterized using techniques of modern molecular biology. Considering the number of individual mammalian GABA_A receptor subunits, the number of pentameric subtypes with different subunit permutations that theoretically could exist, is overwhelming. It has therefore been a major challenge to delineate the subunit complements of native GABA receptors and to resolve their physiological role.

It is believed that specific GABA_A receptor subtypes are responsible for mediating the anxiolytic effect of benzodiazepines. But the specific subtypes and/or subunits involved in the patophysiology of anxiety disorders is not known at present.

15

From anatomical/behavioral studies in rats it has been shown that the anti-conflict effect of the benzodiazepine MidazolamTM is mediated at the baso-lateral amygdaloid nucleus (European Journal of Pharmacology, 1982 82 115-116, and Neuroscience Letters, 1985 53 285-288). As mentioned above six α subunits exists and benzodiazepines are believed to bind to α -subunits or at the interface between the α subunit and the β subunit. The α_1 subunit is distributed more or less uniformly throughout the rat brain, and is believed to be involved in the sedative affects of benzodiazepine receptor ligands. The affinity of benzodiazepines for α_4 and α_6 subunits is low and it is therefore highly unlikely that benzodiazepines mediate their effects via these subunits.

From anatomical studies with in situ hybridization it has been shown that α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , α_4 and α_5 subunits are present in the baso-lateral amygdaloid nucleus, α_2 being the most abundant α -subunit (The Journal of Neuroscience, 1992 12 (3) 1040-1062).

Additionally, It has been shown that the β_3 subunit is the most abundant β subunit in the baso-lateral amygdaloid nucleus and that the β_3 subunit seems

predominantly to be expressed in combination with α_2 subunits (The Journal of Neuroscience, 1992 **12** (3) 1040-1062, and Biochem. J. 1995 **310** 1-9). Likewise the most abundant γ subunit in the baso-lateral amygdaloid nucleus is the γ_2 subunit.

3

These findings suggests that the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype may be the sole mediator of the anxiolytic effect of benzodiazepines.

By measuring the affinity and efficacy of a novel benzodiazepine receptor agonist having potent anxiolytic properties and low sedative effects on cloned GABAA receptor subtypes it was found that this novel benzodiazepine receptor ligand is a full agonist to the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABAA receptor subtype and a partial agonist the $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2$ GABAA receptor subtype.

Based on these findings a novel method for the identification of compounds with anxiolytic potential have been developed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15

30

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method for the identification of benzodiazepine receptor ligands with selective anxiolytic properties (i.e. non-sedative) by measuring the affinity and/or efficacy of the test compounds to a cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor and comparing with the affinity and/or efficacy of the test compound to other GABA_A receptor subtypes which are known not to mediate selective anxiolytic effects. The present invention provides a valuable tool for screening chemical libraries for compounds with selective anxiolytic activity and for designing drugs with selective anxiolytic activity.

Accordingly, in its first aspect, the invention provides a method for the identification of chemical compounds having anxiolytic potential and no or only low sedative effects, which method comprises the steps of

- (i) measuring the affinity and/or efficacy of a chemical compound at a cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor;
- (ii) comparing the affinity and/or efficacy measured in step (i) with the affinity and/or efficacy of the same chemical compound at a different cloned GABA_A receptor subtype; and
- (iii) selecting chemical compounds which are selective benzodiazepine receptor agonists at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype.

5

15

30

In another aspect the invention provides a chemical compound having anxiolytic potential obtained by the method of the invention.

In a third aspect the invention provides a chemical compound being a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonists at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype.

In further aspects the invention relates to the use of a chemical compound of the invention for use as a medicament, and to pharmaceutical compositions comprising these compounds.

Finally the invention relates to a method for the treatment of an individual suffering from anxiety comprising administering to said individual a therapeutically 10 effective amount of a compound which is a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonist at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA receptor.

DETAILED DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides for the identification of chemical compounds having potential as benzodiazepine receptor ligands with anxiolytic activity and no or only low sedative effects.

The method of the invention comprises measuring the affinity and/or efficacy of a chemical compound (the test compound) for binding onto a cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ 20 GABAA receptor, and comparing the affinity and/or efficacy so determined with the affinity and/or efficacy of the same compound for binding onto another cloned GABAA receptor subtype. In this way compounds which are selective benzodiazepine receptor agonists at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABAA receptor subtype can be identified.

As defined herein, selective affinity for a GABAA receptor means that the 25 affinity of a compound for the receptor is higher than the affinity of the compound to other GABA_A receptors.

Also, as defined herein, a selective agonist of a GABAA receptor is a positive modulator of a GABAA receptor which have a higher efficacy to this receptor that to other GABA_A receptors.

In a preferred embodiment, the method of the invention comprises

(i) measuring the affinity of test compounds to cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors and to other cloned GABAA receptor subtypes;

PCT/DK97/00475 WO 98/19165 5

5

10

15

25

(ii) selecting compounds with selective affinity for the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors;

- (iii) measuring the efficacy of the selected compounds at the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA receptor; and
- (iv) selecting compounds which are selective agonist at the cloned GABAA receptor.

In another preferred embodiment the method of the invention comprises

- (i) measuring the affinity of test compounds to a cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor and to other cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes;
- (ii) selecting compounds with affinity for the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor;
- (iii) measuring the efficacy of the selected compounds at the cloned GABA_A receptors; and
- (iv) selecting compounds which are selective agonists at the cloned α2β3γ2 GABA_A receptor.

In the method of the invention, the affinity of the test compounds for the GABAA receptor subtypes may be determined by the ability of the test compounds to displace a radio labeled non-selective, high affinity benzodiazepine receptor ligand, such as tritium isotope radio labeled Flumazenil™ or Flunitrazepam™.

Alternatively, the efficacy of the test compounds for the GABAA receptor 20 subtypes may be determined by measuring the affinity of the compounds for the receptor in presence an in absence of GABA and calculating the GABA-ratio.

In yet another embodiment, the efficacy of the test compounds for the GABA_A receptor may be determined by measuring the chloride flux using patch clamp technique.

In another preferred embodiment, the additional cloned GABAA receptor subtypes employed in the method of the invention, and not being a cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABAA receptor, are subtypes which are known not to mediate selective anxiolytic effects. More preferred this additional cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes contain an α₁, α_3 or α_5 GABA, receptor subunit. In a most preferred embodiment, this additional 30 cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes contain the subunit combination $\alpha_1\beta_3\gamma_2$ or $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$.

In a particular embodiment of the invention, stabile CHO (Chinese hamster ovary) cells expressing cloned rat or human GABA receptor subunits is used to screen test compounds for their affinity to the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype. The affinity of the test compounds to this receptor subtype is compared with the affinity of the compounds to GABA_A receptor types which are believed not to mediate selective anxiolytic effects, e.g. GABA_A receptor subtypes containing an α₁ receptor subunit. The affinity of the test compounds for the cloned receptor is determined by measuring the ability of the compounds to displace a non-selective radio labeled benzodiazepine receptor ligand, such as tritium isotope radio labeled Flumazenil[™] or Flunitrazepam[™]. Inhibition of binding is measured by a conventional in vitro binding assays using membrane preparations of cells expressing cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes.

The efficacy of the test compounds, i.e. whether the compounds are agonists, inverse agonists or antagonists at the benzodiazepine receptor, can also be determined by calculating the GABA-ratio of the compounds for each of the receptor subtypes employed. GABA-ratio is the ratio between the affinity of a test compound measured in presence of GABA and the affinity of the compound measured in absence of GABA. Benzodiazepine receptor ligands which are full agonists generally have a GABA ratio between 1.8-3, partial agonists have a ratio between 1.0-1.8, antagonists have a ratio around 1, whereas inverse agonist have a GABA ratio around 0.5-1. Calculation of GABA-ratio therefore provides an indication of the efficacy of the test compound.

The efficacy of the compound can also be measured in electrophysiological experiments using patch clamp technique.

In the method of the invention any cell expressing GABA_A receptor subunits may be employed. Preferred cells are CHO cells, or other rodent fibroblast cell lines such as BHK (baby kidney hamster cells) and mouse Ltk⁻ cells, insects cells, such as Sf-9 cells, and HEK293 or HeLa cells. The GABA_A subunits expressed by the cells may be of animal (rat or bovine) or human origin.

In another aspect the invention provides a chemical compound having anxiolytic potential obtained by the method of the invention.

In a third aspect the invention provides a chemical compound being a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonists at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype.

In further aspects the invention relates to the use of a chemical compound of the invention for use as a medicament, and to pharmaceutical compositions comprising these compounds.

30

WO 98/19165 PCT/DK97/00475

Finally the invention relates to a method for the treatment of an individual suffering from anxiety comprising administering to said individual a therapeutically effective amount of a compound which is a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonist at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA receptor.

5

EXAMPLES

The invention is further illustrated with reference to the following example which is not intended to be in any way limiting to the scope of the invention as claimed.

10

The affinity of 5-acetyl-1-(3-(3-pyridyl)phenyl)benzimidazole O-ethyl oxime for various GABA_A receptor subtypes was studied using recombinant GABA_A receptors expressed in insect cells. The ratio between affinity for the compound in the absence and presence of GABA (GABA ratio) depended on the subunit combination of the cloned receptors. The compound had a GABA ratio corresponding to a full agonist at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype and a GABA ration corresponding to a partial agonist at the $\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtype.

CLAIMS

5

10

15

20

25

30

- A method for the identification of a chemical compound having anxiolytic 1. potential and no or only low sedative effects, which method comprises the steps of
 - (i) measuring the affinity and/or efficacy of a chemical compound at cloned α₂β₃γ₂ GABA_A receptors;
 - (ii) comparing the affinity and/or efficacy measured in step (i) with the affinity and/or efficacy of the same chemical compound at different cloned GABA receptor subtypes; and
 - (iii) selecting the chemical compound that is a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonist at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtypes.
- The method according to claim 1, comprising 2.
 - (i) measuring the affinity of the test compound to cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors and to different cloned GABAA receptor subtypes;
 - (ii) selecting the compound which has selective affinity for the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors;
 - (iii) measuring the efficacy of the selected compound at the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors; and
 - (iv) selecting the compound which is a selective agonist at the cloned GABA_A receptors.
- 3. The method according to claim 1, comprising
 - (i) measuring the affinity of the test compound to cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors and to different cloned GABAA receptor subtypes;
 - (ii) selecting the compound which has affinity for the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors;
 - (iii) measuring the efficacy of the selected compound at the cloned GABAA receptors; and
 - (iv) selecting the compound which is a selective agonist at the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors.

- 4. The method according to any of claims 1-3, wherein the affinity of the test compound for the GABA_A receptor subtypes is determined by measuring the ability of the test compound to displace a radio labeled non-selective, high affinity benzodiazepine receptor ligand, such as tritium isotope radio labeled Flumazenil™ or Flunitrazepam™.
- The method according to any of claims 1-4, wherein the efficacy of the test compound for the GABA_A receptor subtypes is determined by measuring the affinity of the compound for the receptors in the presence and in the absence of GABA, and calculating the GABA-ratio.
- 6. The method according to any of claims 1-4, wherein the efficacy of the test compound for the GABA_A receptor subtypes is determined by measuring the chloride flux using patch clamp technique.
 - 7. The method according to any of claims 1-6, wherein the cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes that is different from the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors, are subtypes which are known not to mediate selective anxiolytic effects.

20

5

- 8. The method according to claim 1, wherein the cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes that is different from the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors, contain the α_1 , α_3 or α_5 GABA_A receptor subunits.
- 25 9. The method according to claim 1, wherein the cloned GABA_A receptor subtypes that is different from the cloned $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptors, contain the subunit combination $\alpha_1\beta_3\gamma_2$ or $\alpha_3\beta_2\gamma_2$.
- 10. A chemical compound having anxiolytic potential obtained by the method according to any of claims 1-9.
 - 11. A chemical compound being a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonists at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA_A receptor subtypes.

- 12. A pharmaceutical composition comprising the benzodiazepine receptor ligand according to either of claims 10-11.
- 5 13. The chemical compound according to either of claims 10-11 for use as a medicament.
 - 14. Use of the chemical compound according to either of claims 10-11 for the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of anxiety.

10

15

15. A method for the treatment of an individual suffering from anxiety comprising administering to said individual a therapeutically effective amount of a chemical compound which is a selective benzodiazepine receptor agonist at the $\alpha_2\beta_3\gamma_2$ GABA receptors.

International application No. PCT/DK 97/00475

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC6: G01N 33/566, C12Q 1/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC6: GO1N Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X,Y WO 9413799 A1 (MERCK SHARP & DOHME LIMITED), 1-8,10-15 23 June 1994 (23.06.94), page 5, line 7 - line 10; page 11, example 4, claims 2,8-13 TIPS, Volume 17, May 1996, Erminio Costa et al, "Benzodiazepines on trial: a research strategy for X,Y 1-8,10-15 their rehabilitation", page 192 - page 200, see page 194 and page 196 - page 197, bridging paragraph Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" ertier document but published on or after the international filing date "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 0 7 -02- 1998 22 January 1998 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ **Swedish Patent Office** Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Carl-Olof Gustafsson Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86 Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

International application No. PCT/DK 97/00475

		JK 97/004/3
C (Continu	nation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant pas	sages Relevant to claim No.
Х,Ү	Journal of biological chemistry, Volume 269, No 43, 1994, Dietmar Benke et al, "Distribution, Prevalence, and Drug Binding Profile of gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptor Subtype Differing in the beta-Subunit Variant", page 27100 - page 27107, see page 27105 - page 27106 and Table II	
		
Y	WO 9322681 A1 (NEUROGEN CORPORATION), 11 November 1993 (11.11.93), page 4 - page 8, claim 1	1-5
х		10-15
		
Y	NeuroReport, Volume 6, No 3, February 1995, G. White et al, "alfa subunits influence Zn blo of gamma2 containing GABAA receptor currents", page 461 - page 464, figures 2,3	1-6
A	Dialog Information Services, File 154, MEDLINE, Dialog accession no. 07367310, Medline accession. 92383385, Gardner CR: "A review of recently developed ligands for neuronal benzodiazepine receptors and their pharmacological activities Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry (Eng 1992, 16 (6) p 755-81	y- ",
X		10
:	·	
A	US 5166066 A (DONALD B. CARTER), 24 November 1992 (24.11.92)	1-6
٠		
A	The Journal of Neuroscience, Volume 12, No 3, March 1992, W. Wisden et al, "The Distributio 13 GABAA Receptor Subunit mRNAs in the Rat Bra I. Telencephalon, Diencephalon, Mesencephalon" page 1040 - page 1062	in.

International application No. PCT/DK 97/00475

		PG1/DK 3//0	10475
C (Continua	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant	ant passages	Relevant to claim No
Α .	The Journal of Neuroscience, Volume 15, No 10 October 1995, Hartmut Lüddens et al, "GAI Antagonists Differentiate between Recombin GABAA/Benzodiazepine Receptor Subtypes", page 6957 - page 6962, see Table 1, figure and 5	nant	. 1
ı			
1			
]			
1			
1			
1			İ
İ			-
			1
j			
			Ì
1			
ļ			[
			1
	,		
-			1
			1
			1
ł			
1]
]
j			
			1
į			
l			
	•		
			1

International application No.

PCT/DK97/00475

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. X Claims Noz.: 15 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claims Noz.: 15 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claims Noz.: 15 because they relate to a method of treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or by therappy/a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body by surgery or by therappy/a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body for this claim. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. 2. Claims Noz.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all stanchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were paid, specifically claims Noz.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Noz.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
Claim 15 related to a method of treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or by therappy, diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body by surgery or by therappy, diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body/Rule. 39.1.(iv). Nevertheless, a search has been executed for this claim. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. 2. Cambon No.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of Invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	This inte	rnational search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
by surgery or by therapy/a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body/Rule (3.9.1. (iv). Nevertheless, a search has been executed for this claim. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an execut that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As all sequired additional fee. 4. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	1. X	
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1.	2.	by surgery or by therapy/a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body/Rule.39.1.(iv). Nevertheless, a search has been executed for this claim. The search has been based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3. 🔲	
 As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 	Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This Inte	rnational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1.	
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4.	
	Remark	

Information on patent family members

07/01/98 PCT/

International application No. PCT/DK 97/00475

	atent document i in search repor	1	Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO	9413799	A1	23/06/94	AU	680852	В	14/08/97
				AU	5655494	A	04/07/94
				CA	2151236	A	23/06/94
				EP	0673419	A	27/09/95
				JP	8504330	T	14/05/96
				US	5652100	A	29/07/97
WO	9322681	A1	11/11/93	AT	158414	 -	15/10/97
				AU	4117793	Α	29/11/93
				CA	2134227	A	11/11/93
				DE	69314024	D	00/00/00
				EP	0639275	A.B	22/02/95
				JP	8500333	-	16/01/96
				US	5597920	A	28/01/97
				US	5688654	A	18/11/97
US	5166066	Α	24/11/92	NON	 E		